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Flash vacuum pyrolysis of 2-allyloxypropenoic esters (e.g. 7) gives benzo[b]furans (e.g. 32) in synthetically useful yields by sequential generation of a phenoxyl radical, cyclisation and ejection of the carboxylic ester function as a free radical leaving group. The method is compatible with a range of substituents on either the benzene ring or the propenoate chain, and is particularly effective for 2 -substituted benzo[b]furans. The natural products 5-methoxybenzo[b]furan 1 and angelicin 2 have been synthesised in three and four steps respectively from commercially available starting materials by this route. Related cyclisations to give naphtho[2,1-b]furan 40 were complicated by competitive formation of naphtho-[2,1-b]pyran-3-ones (e.g. 41 and 42), but the yield of the required product could be optimised by the choice of the radical precursor. A nnelation of a furan ring onto a thiophene is also possible by this method, but lower yields are obtained in such pyrolyses.

In earlier papers we have reported application of the technique of flash vacuum pyrolysis (FVP) to the gas-phase generation of aryloxyl radicals, and their reactions with adjacent aromatic systems. ${ }^{2,3}$ These processes are often dominated by hydrogen abstractions, and relatively small amounts of useful cyclisation products are formed. In extending this work to the properties of aryloxyls with adjacent alkene systems, it became apparent at an early stage that the carboxylic ester function behaves as a specific and highly efficient radical leaving group under these conditions, leading to a useful synthetic route to benzo[b]furans. ${ }^{1}$ We now present full details of this work, and its extensions, which have led to concise syntheses of the natural products 5 -methoxybenzo[b]furan $\mathbf{1}$ and angelicin $\mathbf{2}$. The results of preliminary attempts to annelate a furan ring onto fivemembered heterocyclic systems are also reported.

A s in our previous work, ${ }^{2,3}$ we have employed 0 -allyl or 0benzyl ethers as radical generators, made in high yield from the corresponding phenol by treatment with the appropriate bromoalkane in dimethylformamide containing anhydrous potassium carbonate. The alkene function was made from an appropriate carbonyl compound by Wittig or K noevenagel methodology (Scheme 1). In many cases, the order of the allylation and Wittig steps was unimportant, though in practice 2-allyloxybenzaldehyde $\mathbf{3}$ was used to make the Wittig products 4 and 14 (obtained in low, but unoptimised yields) and the K noevenagel products 5, 19 and 20 (61-97\%). Compounds 5 and $\mathbf{2 0}$ were made from the appropriate active methylene compound using piperidinium acetate catalyst, but it proved necessary to use more vigorous conditions (titanium tetrachloridepyridine) for the synthesis of the diester 19. The precursors 7, 9, 11, 13, 16 and 18 were made by allylation of the phenols 6,8 , $10,12,15$ and 17 ( $80-100 \%$ ) respectively, which were themselves made by Wittig reactions with salicylaldehydes, to give pre-dominantly-often exclusively-the E-isomers (75-90\%). ${ }^{4}$ The alkoxynaphthols 22-24 and $\mathbf{2 6}$ were made from the naphthols 21 and 25 in similar yields, though significant amounts of the Z-isomer were present in these cases. Because of the possibility of thermal elimination of ethene from ethyl esters, ${ }^{5}$ the methyl esters were generally employed. However, it was more con-
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Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}{\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DM} \mathrm{F} \text {; } ; ~}_{\text {, }}$ ii, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CR}^{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{1}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$
venient to make the ethyl esters of the side chain methylated derivatives $\mathbf{1 6}, 18$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ owing to the commercial availability of the Wittig reagent, and this proved to have no effect on the pyrolysis.
Because of the low reactivity of acetophenones with stabilised Wittig reagents, a Wittig-H orner reaction was employed to make the ester 28; a 3:1 mixture of $E: Z$ isomers was obtained. To avoid possible problems owing to the basic conditions, the phenol was first protected as its benzyl ether 27, and in addition this substituent ultimately served as the radical leaving group.
The mass spectra of the majority of these acrylates show the results of initial ionisation at the carbonyl group followed by

$6 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$
$7 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=$ allyl
$8 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$
$9 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=$ allyl
$10 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$
$11 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=$ allyl
$12 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$
$13 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{allyl}$
$14 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=$ allyl
$15 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$
$16 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{allyl}$
$17 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$
$18 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{allyl}$
$19 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=$ allyl
$20 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=$ allyl

$21 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$
$22 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{allyl}$ $23 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\operatorname{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}}$
$24 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$
$25 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$
$26 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=$ allyl
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standard $\alpha$-cleavage of the alkoxy group to give initial breakdown peaks at M - 31 (methyl esters) and M - 45 (ethyl esters) which may be of low intensity (Scheme 2). There follow two competing pathways involving either sequential loss of CO and the allyl group or vice versa. Both routes converge at the benzo[b]furan radical cation 29 which forms the base peak in many cases. For example the parent compound of the series 7 ( $M+218,35 \%$ ) shows an initial minor breakdown peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 187 ( $\mathrm{M}-31,8 \%$ ) followed by competitive loss of the allyl function ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 146,12 \%$ ) and of CO (cluster centred at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 158$, $27 \%$ ), and then by generation of the radical cation $29(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 118$, $95 \%$ ). M inor variants of this general pathway include initial loss of the phenolic 0 -alkyl group (from the stilbene $\mathbf{4}$ and the isopropyl compound 23)-a route which is analogous to the anticipated thermal breakdown. In addition, both alkoxy groups and the allyl group are lost from the diester 19 to give the base peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 173$ which can then undergo two further decarbonylations [ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 145$ (50\%) and 117 (45\%)]. It is worth pointing out that the mass spectrometric breakdowns are controlled by the site of lowest ionisation potential (generally the ester function) whereas the thermal behaviour is initiated at the weakest bond in the molecule (generally the 0 -allyl or benzyl linkage). It is therefore not possible in general to predict the pyrolytic behaviour of a molecule from its electron impact mass spectrometric cleavage pattern.

The initial pyrolysis of the stilbene 4 at $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.001 Torr) showed that the phenoxyl radicals generated by homolysis of the 0 -allyl bond under these conditions can indeed interact


Scheme 2


Scheme 3
with the alkene function to give benzo[b]furans (Scheme 3). The mechanism presumably involves attack of the phenoxyl radical at the terminal position of the alkene to give the resonance stabilised intermediate 30 which can then aromatise either by loss of a phenyl radical or a hydrogen atom. In practice, the latter route predominates and 2-phenylbenzo[b]furan 31 was obtained in $55 \%$ yield. Traces of benzo[b]furan 32 (together with the co-formed biphenyl) were detected by GC-M S. In contrast to the reaction of phenoxyl radicals with aromatic systems, there were apparently no complications caused by hydrogen-transfer processes. H owever, the method is not useful in its present form as a general synthetic route to benzo[b]furans, because of the incomplete control over the radical leaving group.

This problem was unexpectedly solved by pyrolysis of alkenes containing terminal esters, since it was found that initial cyclisation was followed by loss of the entire ester function with total specificity [Scheme 4, route (a)]. For example, FVP of the allyl ether 7 at $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.01 Torr) gave benzo[b]furan 32 in $65 \%$ isolated yield without the formation of significant quantities of any by-products (Scheme 4, $R^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=R^{3}=H$ ); in particu-

$37\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}\right)$
Scheme 4
lar, no methyl benzo[b]furan-2-carboxylate 37 could be detected [Scheme 4, route (b)]. The crude product was satisfactorily purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation; chromatography was not required. The reaction is therefore a pyrolytic homolytic substitution in which $\cdot \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ acts as the leaving group. This group presumably splits further into $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and an alkyl radical, though in practice no products from these fragments were detected. The alternative aromatisation by loss of a hydrogen atom [Scheme 4, route (b), $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ ] is not observed, though this is not entirely unexpected owing to its relatively high heat of formation (cf. previous paragraph). It was therefore important to establish that the ester function could behave as a leaving group in competition with other well-known radical leaving groups, such as alkyl groups. ${ }^{3,6}$ The pyrolysis of the methyl ester 14 and the corresponding ethyl ester 16 at $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were therefore studied, and 2-methylbenzo[b]furan 35 ( $75 \%$ from 16) was formed exclusively in both cases, i.e. the ester moiety behaved as the leaving group even in the presence of a competitive alkyl substituent (Scheme $4, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}$ or $\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ ), Indeed the yield for the 2 -substituted product is greater than that for its unsubstituted analogue, and this trend appears to be general (see below). We were therefore confident that 2-allyloxycinnamate esters fulfilled our criteria as useful benzo[b]furan precursors and embarked on a systematic investigation of the synthetic scope of this novel pyrolytic process.

The reaction proceeds well with mildly electron withdrawing or electron donating substituents in the benzene ring. Thus the 5 -chloro compound 33 ( $60 \%$ ), the 5-chloro-2-methyl compound $36(85 \%)$ and the 5 -methoxy compound $1(90 \%)$ were obtained from the cinnamate precursors 9,18 and 13 respectively. Compound 1 is a fungal metabolite produced from-amongst others-Stereum subpileatum species, ${ }^{7-9}$ and the present route is a convenient three-step synthesis from commercially available starting materials. A lthough the 5 -nitro compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ gave the benzo[b]furan 34 as the major product ( $55 \%$ ), this pyrolysis also gave rise to significant by-products (see below). However, the pyrolysis proceeds well with electron withdrawing groups in the side chain of the precursor, and the 2-methoxycarbonyl compound 37 ( $95 \%$ ) and 2 -cyano compound 38 ( $52 \%$ ) were obtained from the malonate and cyanoacetate derivatives 19 and $\mathbf{2 0}$ respectively. A s expected in the absence of a good radical leaving group, the malononitrile 5 gave no useful products under FVP conditions. The butenoate $\mathbf{2 8}$ gave a good yield of 3 methylbenzo[b]furan 39 ( $67 \%$ ), though this had to be purified by chromatography to remove the co-formed bibenzyl, originat-

$32 \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ $33 \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Cl}$ $34 \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ $35 \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ $36 \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Cl}$ $37 \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ $38 \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$
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ing from dimerisation of the benzyl radical. No hydrogen abstraction reactions involving interaction of the phenoxyl radical with a methyl group in either position of the propenoate side-chain were observed (cf. ref. 2).
Extension of these results into a bicyclic series by pyrolysis of the 1-naphthylpropenoate $\mathbf{2 2}$ again gave the expected cyclisation to naphtho[2,1-b]furan 40, though the yield was much lower than expected (39\%) and two significant by-products were isolated. These were identified as naphtho[2,1-b]pyran-3-one 41 (13\%), by comparison with an authentic sample ${ }^{4}$ and its 2-allyl derivative $42(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 236)(21 \%)$. The presence of a C-allyl group in 42 was clear from the characteristic five proton resonances in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M \mathrm{R}$ spectrum, and in particular the chemical shift of the alkyl $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group was at much lower frequency ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.40$ ) than would be expected of an 0 -allyl function (e.g. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.72$ in the precursor 22). The position of the substitution follows from the absence of a signal at ca. $\delta_{\mathbf{H}} 6.5$ corresponding to the pyranone 2 -position, and the consequent appearance of the 1-proton resonance as a singlet at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.24$ [cf. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.48$ (d) in 41 ].

It is known that FVP of the naphthol 21 gives the naphthopyranone 41 in high yield ${ }^{4}$ by $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$ isomerisation, ketene formation and cyclisation (Scheme 5) and that hydrogen atom capture by phenoxyl-type radicals to give phenols can bea common process. ${ }^{2}$ This sequence is the most likely route to 41 from the 0 -allyl precursor 22, though it is surprising that the route is found for the naphthyl propenoates and not for the corresponding benzene derivatives.
The occurrence of the 2-allyl product $\mathbf{4 2}$ is unprecedented in reactions of this type. Its formation may be rationalised by either a $[1,5]$ or a $[3,5]$ sigmatropic shift of the allyl group to generate the o-quinomethane intermediate 43 (Scheme 5) followed by a $[1,5]$ hydrogen shift in the reverse direction to generate the naphthol 44 which can then cyclise to a pyranone in the same way as 21 . The observation of this pathway in the naphthalene series but not in the corresponding benzene series was again unexpected. It is possible that the initial sigmatropic shift is relatively favoured by the increased localisation of the formal double bonds in the naphthalene system compared with the situation in the benzene ring. A Iternatively, less aromatic character is destroyed in the initial migration product $\mathbf{4 3}$ than is the case with the corresponding benzenoid compounds.

The proposed mechanisms were tested in two ways. In the past, we have attempted to minimise the hydrogen atom flux in such experiments by the use of benzyl rather than allyl groups as radical generators. ${ }^{2}$ H owever in this case pyrolysis of the benzyl ether 24 gave only slightly reduced levels of the pyranone $41(11 \%)$, though the yield of naphthofuran 40 had increased to $55 \%$. This increase was due instead to a much
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## Scheme 6

intermediate may be created by two sequential $[3,3]$ sigmatropic shifts of the allyl group, whence cleavage of the nitrosubstituent generates the phenoxyl radical which can cyclise in the usual way. H owever, it is not clear why a remote nitro-group should influence the course of the reaction in this way.

In view of the general success of these model reactions, the route shown in Scheme 7 was devised as a synthesis of the


Scheme 7 Reagents and conditions: i, hexamethylenetetramine, acetic acid, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 5.5 h ; ii, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DM} \mathrm{F}$; iii, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{e;} \mathrm{iv} ,\mathrm{~F} \mathrm{VP}\left(650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.001\right.$ Torr $)$
furocoumarin natural product angelicin $2 .{ }^{11}$ This compound has been isolated from a number of plant sources and has been the subject of some recent syntheses. ${ }^{12,13}$ Thus the commercially available 7-hydroxycoumarin 49 was formylated with complete regioselectivity, though in low yield, by the Duff reaction to give the 8 -formyl compound $\mathbf{5 0 .}{ }^{14}$ Allylation under standard conditions gave 51, which was subjected to a Wittig reaction to give the propenoate precursor 52 which was purified by chromatography on silica. FVP of $\mathbf{5 2}$ gave angelicin 2 in 45\% yield after recrystallisation. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} N \mathrm{M}$ R spectra of the final product are in full agreement with those reported in the literature ${ }^{15-17}$ (see Experimental section). A small amount of a compound which is probably the allylpyrone 53 was also isolated from this pyrolysis, presumably formed by a mechanism similar to that in Scheme 5.
It is clear that the cyclisation of oxygen centred radicals onto adjacent acrylate functions is an efficient process under FVP conditions if these groups are present on a six-membered ring framework. We have also studied the pyrolysis of the thiophene
precursors 54 and 55 in which the cyclisation-if successfulwould give a more strained thieno[3,2-b]furan system. Very little is known of the synthesis of this system. ${ }^{18-20}$ In the event, a viable (though low yielding) pyrolytic synthesis of thieno-[3,2-b]furans was developed, but it was unclear whether these low yields were due to an inefficient cyclisation step or to decomposition of the (rather unstable) products during isolation and work-up. The problem was exacerbated by some poor yields en route to the pyrolysis precursors.

3-H ydroxy-5-(methylthio)thiophene 56 was chosen as the starting material for the syntheses since it is readily available as its thiophen-3(2H )-one tautomer in two steps from M eldrum's acid (Scheme 8). ${ }^{21}$ Benzylation of this compound under con-

ditions optimised for the 0 -alkylation of analogous pyrrol3 -(2H )-ones ${ }^{22}$ gave instead a mixture of 3 -benzyloxy-5-(methylthio)thiophene 57 and a product of $\mathrm{C}, 0$-dialkylation 58 , from which the required product 57 could be isolated in $39 \%$ yield after careful bulb-to-bulb distillation. Vilsmeier formylation of this product was unsuccessful, but it was nevertheless reactive enough to condense with methoxymethylene M eldrum's acid 59 over two days at room temperature in acetonitrile solution to give the ' $M$ eldrumsated' product 60 in $42 \%$ yield after recrystallisation. Similar reactions have also been carried out in the 3alkoxypyrrole series. ${ }^{23}$ C leavage of the $M$ eldrum's acid ring was effected with sodium methoxide in methanol solution (1 h at room temperature) which gave the malonate mono ester 61, which could either be decarboxylated under bulb-to-bulb distillation conditions or alkylated ( $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{el}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$ ) to give the acrylate 54 ( $67 \%$ ) and the malonate 55 ( $82 \%$ ) respectively (cf. ref. 24).

FVP of the acrylate 54 at $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the expected bibenzyl together with a single unstable heterocyclic product, which were separated by dry-flash chromatography on silica. This was identified as 5-(methylthio)thieno[3,2-b]furan 62 by comparison of its ${ }^{1} H N M R$ spectrum with that of the parent thieno[3,2-b]furan previously reported (Table 1). ${ }^{20}$ The chemical shifts and coupling constants are closely similar, though the value of ${ }^{5}{ }_{2,6}$ was negligible in our example; the very small effect of the methylthio substituent on the chemical shift of the adjacent site is also evident in a comparison of the spectra of 3methoxythiophene $63\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(4-\mathrm{H}) 6.79\right]$ and 5 -(methylthio)-3methoxythiophene $64\left[\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(4-\mathrm{H}) 6.71\right] .{ }^{25}$ Due to its low stability - particularly in ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}$ chloroform solution - we were unable to characterise the product 62 further, and this may also account in part for the low yield of the pyrolysis product (21\%).

Similarly, FVP of the malonate 55 gave the thieno[3,2-b]-furan-2-carboxylate 65 (22\%). Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum is also summarised in Table 1 together with that of a known 2carboxylic acid, ${ }^{20}$ but again our material proved to be too unstable for complete characterisation.

To conclude, it is clear that FVP of 2-0-allylcinnamate esters and related compounds is a useful synthetic route to the benzo[b]furan ring system which can be directly applied to modest synthetic targets. The reaction is compatible with a wide range of substituents, yields are generally in the range $60-90 \%$,

Table $1{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of thieno[3,2-b]furans

| Compound | $\delta_{\text {H }}$ |  |  | J/Hz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2-H | $3-\mathrm{H}$ | 6-H |  |
| Thieno[3,2-b]furan ${ }^{20}$ | 7.51 | 6.69 | 7.02 |  |
| 62 | 7.55 | 6.67 | 7.15 | ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 2.32 .0$ |
| Thieno[3,2-b]furan-2carboxylic acid ${ }^{20}$ | - | 7.71 | 7.33 | ${ }_{5}^{5}{ }_{3,6} \mathbf{3 , 6} 0.5$ |
| 65 | - | 7.41 | 7.09 | ${ }_{5}{ }_{3,6} 0.6$ |



Scheme 8 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMSO}$; ii, FVP $\left(600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.001\right.$ Torr); iii, $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OTs}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMI}$; iv, acetonitrile, room temperature, 2 days; v, NaOM e, 1 h ; vi, heat (K ugelrohr); vii, M el , $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DM F

and in favourable cases the product can be isolated without chromatography. We have also shown that a furan ring can be fused onto a thiophene system using this methodology, though in much lower yield. M ore work will be required to establish whether this reduced yield is due to an inefficient cyclisation step or to the relative instability of the products.

## Experimental

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 200 and 50 MHz respectively for solutions in deuteriochloroform unless otherwise stated. Coupling constants (J) are quoted in Hz. Light petroleum refers to the fraction boiling between $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 2-Allyloxybenzaldehyde 3

Benzaldehyde ( $20.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a suspension of
potassium carbonate ( $47.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dimethylformamide ( $250 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Allyl bromide ( $20.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 21 h . Water ( $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was then added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 50$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water ( $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{SSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give 2allyloxybenzaldehyde 3 ( $23.3 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ) as a colourless viscous liquid, bp $115-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.4 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 162.0678 . $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 162.0680$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ 6.93-6.84 (2H , m), $5.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.39-5.18(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$ and $4.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 189.37,160.68(\mathrm{q}), 135.63,132.17$, $128.06,124.81(\mathrm{q}), 120.56,117.72,112.67$ and $68.87 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 162$ $\left(M^{+}, 56 \%\right) 133(32), 121$ (90), 92 (37) and 41 (100).

## 2-Allyloxystilbene 4 (cf. ref. 26)

Benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $6.94 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of sodium ethoxide [from sodium ( 0.46 g , 0.02 mol ) in 'super-dry' ethanol ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ )], under an atmosphere of nitrogen, to form an orange-coloured suspension. A solution of 2-allyloxybenzaldehyde $(2.58 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry ethanol ( 10 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) was then added dropwise, thus forming a clear solution which was stirred at room temperature for 50 h . The solution was then poured into a solution of hydrobromic acid in acetic acid ( $33 \%, 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and cooled in ice. The resulting pink precipitate was filtered to yield recovered phosphonium salt ( 2.22 g ). The filtrate was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and the combined organic extracts were washed with aqueous sodium bisulfite ( $5 \% ; 40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Triphenylphosphine oxide ( 1.57 $\mathrm{g}, 35 \%$ ) deposited as a white solid and was filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness under vacuum and the resulting viscous brown oil ( 3.42 g ) was purified by distillation to give 2-allyloxystilbene $4(1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%)$ as a clear oil, bp $184-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (0.6 Torr), which slowly crystallised, mp $46-48{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 85.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.8 . \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires C , 85.8; H , 6.8\%); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.80-6.87(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.15$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 5.56-5.28 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $4.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 155.87(\mathrm{q}), 137.89(\mathrm{q}), 133.31$, 129.02, 128.51, 128.46, 127.27, 126.71 (q), 126.46, 126.42, 123.47, 120.91, 117.19, 112.45 and 69.13; m/z 236 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 33 \%$ ) 195 (26), 167 (100), 152 (57) and 41 (63).

## General method ${ }^{27}$ for preparation of methyl 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)propenoate derivatives

The appropriate aldehyde was dissolved in dry dichloromethane. M ethyl triphenylphosphoranylideneacetate was added with stirring. Reaction was continued until TLC showed complete disappearance of the aldehyde (ca. 2 h ). The mixture was then pre-adsorbed onto silica ( $5 \times$ weight of mixture), and subjected to dry flash chromatography on silica.

The following compounds were prepared by this method. Salicylaldehyde gave methyl 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl) propenoate 6, ${ }^{4}$ 2-hydroxy-5-chlorobenzaldehyde gave methyl 3-(2-hydroxy-5chlorophenyl)propenoate 8, ${ }^{4}$ 2-hydroxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde gave methyl 3 -(2-hydroxy-5-nitrophenyl)propenoate $10,{ }^{4} \quad 2$ -hydroxy-5-methoxybenzaldehyde gave methyl 3 -(2-hydroxy-5methoxyphenyl) propenoate $12 ;{ }^{28} \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 6.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d})$, $6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 208$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 20 \%\right), 176$ (100), $145(44), 133(48), 77$ (49) and 52 (40) and 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde gave methyl 3-(2-hydroxy-1naphthyl) propenoate 21. ${ }^{4}$

## G eneral method ${ }^{4}$ for preparation of ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-hydroxyphenyl) propenoate derivatives

The appropriate aldehyde was dissolved in dry dichloromethane. Ethyl 2-triphenylphosphoranylidenepropionate was added with stirring. Reaction was continued until TLC showed complete disappearance of the aldehyde. The mixture was then pre-adsorbed on to silica ( $5 \times$ weight of mixture), and subjected to dry flash chromatography on silica.
The following compounds were prepared by this method. Salicylaldehyde gave ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-
propenoate $15,{ }^{4}$ 2-hydroxy-5-chlorobenzaldehyde gave ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-hydroxy-5-chlorophenyl)propenoate 17,4 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde ( $0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave ethyl 2 -methyl-3-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthyl) propenoate 25 as a mixture of E and Z isomers ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ), mp $93-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{29} 97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 256.1101 . \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 256.1099$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $8.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.90-7.19(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 4.33\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ 7.1), 1.86 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 1.0$ ) and $1.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1\right.$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 167.82(\mathrm{q})$, 150.28 (q), 133.07, 130.01, 128.18, 126.62, 123.81, 123.43, 117.69, 61.12, 14.57 and 14.15 (four quaternary signals not assigned because of the presence of ca. $25 \%$ of minor diastereomer); m/z 256 ( ${ }^{+}$, 31\%), 211 (31), 210 (96), 183 (38), 182 (100), 181 (57), 153 (17), 152 (31), 139 (12), 91 (14) and 76 (18).

In addition, methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-allyloxyphenyl)propenoate 14 was prepared from 2-allyloxybenzaldehyde 3 ( 0.3 g , 1.7 mmol ) and methyl 2-triphenylphosphoranylidenepropionate ${ }^{30}$ $(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ by a similar method. A fter reaction, the solution was concentrated to half its volume and the majority of the triphenylphosphine oxide was precipitated by the addition of light petroleum. The filtrate was concentrated to give a brown oil ( 0.42 g ) which contained the product and some unreacted aldehyde which was removed as a water-soluble hydrazone by treatment with G irard's reagent ' $T$ '. Pure methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-allyloxyphenyl)propenoate 14 ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ) was obtained as a clear oil by distillation, bp $162-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 1.5 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 232.1097. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 232.1099$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.87$ (1H, m), 7.31-7.23 (2H, m), 6.99-6.87 (2H, m), $6.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $5.45-5.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $2.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 168.97$ (q), 156.43 (q), 134.82, 132.96, 130.07, 129.49, 128.11 (q), $125.05(\mathrm{q}), 120.13,117.17,111.86,68.87,51.76$ and 14.10 ; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 232\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 49 \%\right), 131$ (76) and 41 (100).

## 2-Benzylox yacetophenone 27

Potassium carbonate ( $0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to DM F ( 25 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). A fter stirring for $5 \mathrm{~min}, 2$-hydroxyacetophenone ( 0.68 g , 5 mmol ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 5 min . Benzyl bromide ( $0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added dropwise and the mixture was stirred overnight. TLC confirmed that all the starting materials had been consumed and water ( $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added. The mixture was then extracted with diethyl ether $\left(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were washed with water $\left(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{gSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was then removed on the rotary evaporator to yield 2-benzylox yacetophenone 27, bp $165-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 12 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 226.0990 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires M , 226.0994); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.75$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.2,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 2.0$ ), $7.48-7.34$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.9\right), 5.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $2.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ 199.77 (q), 157.87 (q), 136.05 (q), 133.46, 130.60 (q), 130.30, $128.55,128.09,127.42,120.72,112.66,70.49$ and $31.96 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 226$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8 \%$ ), 92 (11), 91 (100) and 65 (17).

## Ethyl 3-(2-benzyloxyphenyl)but-2-enoate 28 (cf. ref 31)

To a solution of sodium ethoxide [from sodium ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 11$ mmol ) in ethanol ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ )] was added methyl diethyl phosphonoacetate $(2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$. A fter stirring for 30 min the mixture was cooled in ice and 2-benzyloxyacetophenone 27 ( $0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The mixture was then heated under reflux for 48 h . (During reflux the methyl ester transesterified to the ethyl ester.) W ater ( $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with water ( $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{gSO}_{4}\right)$. Thecrude product was pre-adsorbed onto silica $(5 \mathrm{~g})$ and separated by dry flash chromatography ( $5 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexane; $5 \%$ gradient). This gave two products which were the E and Z isomers of ethyl 3 -(2-benzyloxyphenyl)but-2enoate 28. E-isomer ( $0.714 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) bp $134-138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 296.1417. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 296.1412$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 7.44-7.17 (7H , m), 7.00-6.93 (2H, m), 5.96 (1H, q, ${ }^{4}$ J 1.3), 5.11 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1\right), 2.56\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 1.3\right)$ and $1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 166.66$ (q), 156.52 (q), 155.33 (q), 136.69 (q), 133.40
(q), 129.36, 128.90, 128.44, 127.75, 127.02, 120.79, 119.22 112.43, 70.15, 59.58, 19.94 and 14.25; m/z 296 ( ${ }^{+}$, 2\%), 205 (8), 131 ( 8 ) and 91 (100). Z-isomer ( $0.240 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \%$ ), bp $120-$ $124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 296.1403. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires M 296.1412); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.41-7.22(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.10-6.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.99(1 \mathrm{H}$ q, ${ }^{4}$ 1.4), $5.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.98\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1\right), 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 1.3\right)$ and $1.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 165.39(\mathrm{q}), 154.17$ (q), 153.28 (q), 137.04 (q), 130.65 (q), 128.39, 128.17, 127.87, 127.38, 126.66, $120.40,118.65,112.12,69.82,59.25,26.02$ and $13.70 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 296$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3 \%$ ), 204 (8), 132 (7), 131 (9), 92 (8), 91 (100) and 65 (9).

## G eneral method for 0 -alkylation of 3-(2-hydroxyaryl)prop-

 enoatesPotassium carbonate ( $0.152 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to D M F ( 5 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). After stirring for 5 min the appropriate methyl 3-(2hydroxyaryl)propenoates or ethyl 3-(2-hydroxyaryl)-2-methylpropenoates ( 1 mmol ) were added and the mixture was stirred for 5 min . Allyl bromide ( $0.133 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added dropwise and the mixture was stirred overnight. TLC confirmed that all the substrates had been consumed and water ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added. The mixture was then extracted with diethyl ether $\left(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined extracts were washed with water $\left(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{gSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was then removed on the rotary evaporator to yield the following methyl 3 -(2allyloxyaryl)propenoates or ethyl 3-(2-allyloxyaryl)-2-methylpropenoates.

M ethyl 3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)propenoate 6 gave methyl 3-(2allyloxyphenyl)propenoate 7 (90\%), bp $100-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (8 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 218.0946 . \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 218.0943$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 8.03 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 16.2$ ), 7.48 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.7,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 1.4$ ), $7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 6.97-6.85 (2H , m), $6.52\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ 16.2), $6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.45-5.26$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 167.73(\mathrm{q}), 157.10(\mathrm{q})$, $140.05,132.67,131.21,128.68,120.68,118.11,117.63,112.27$, 68.96 and 51.39 (one quaternary carbon not apparent); m/z 218 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 35 \%$ ), 158 (27), 131 (20), 118 (95), 105 (46), 90 (34), 89 (37), 59 (32) and 41 (100).

M ethyl 3-(2-hydroxy-5-chlorophenyl)propenoate 8 gave methyl 3-(2-allyloxy-5-chlorophenyl)propenoate 9 (94\%), bp $82-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.12 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 254.0517$ and 252.0554 . $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{37} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ require $\mathrm{M}, 254.0524$ and 252.0553 respectively); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.92$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 16.2$ ), 7.44 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4}$ ) 2.6), 7.22 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.9,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 2.6$ ), 6.79 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.9$ ), 6.74 ( 1 H , d, ${ }^{3}$ I 16.2 ), $6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.43-5.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.58-4.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and 3.78 (3H, m); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 167.26$ (q), 155.53 (q), 138.51, 132.27 $130.61,127.98,125.47$ (q), 124.94 (q), 119.32, 117.98, 113.62, 69.35 and $51.52 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 254\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 33 \%\right), 252\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 195$ (13), 194 (14), 193 (15), 192 (35), 180 (30), 152 (31), 113 (19), 89 (11) and 59 (39).

M ethyl 3-(2-hydroxy-5-nitrophenyl)propenoate 10 gave methyl 3-(2-allyloxy-5-nitrophenyl)propenoate 11 (81\%), unpurified $\mathrm{mp} 88-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 263.0799. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 263.0794$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.37\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 2.7\right), 8.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ 9.1, ${ }^{4}$ 2.7), 7.93 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 16.2$ ), 6.96 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.1$ ), 6.58 ( 1 H , d, ${ }^{3}$ ) 16.2 ), $6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.47-5.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.72-4.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and 3.79 (3H , s); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 166.88(\mathrm{q}), 161.30(\mathrm{q}), 141.21(\mathrm{q}), 137.49$, $131.28,126.48,124.11(\mathrm{q}), 123.95,120.91,118.94,112.04,69.85$ and $51.72 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 263\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13 \%\right), 59(11), 44(21), 41$ (100) and 40 (90).

M ethyl 3-(2-hydroxy-5-methoxyphenyl)propenoate $\mathbf{1 2}$ gave methyl 3-(2-allyloxy-5-methoxyphenyl)propenoate 13 ( $74 \%$ ), bp 142-145 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (0.3 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 248.1057 . \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 248.1049) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 7.03$ (1H, d), $6.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d})$, $6.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.44-5.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.56-4.51(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$, $3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 167.62(\mathrm{q}), 153.53(\mathrm{q})$, 151.61 (q), 139.86 (q), 133.02, 124.23, 118.38, 117.52, 116.94, 114.04, 112.95, 69.88, 55.58 and $51.43 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 248$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 75 \%$ ), 207 (36), 176 (28), 148 (100), 135 (63), 105 (59), 77 (38) and 59 (62).

Ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)propenoate $\mathbf{1 5}$ gave ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-allyloxyphenyl)propenoate 16 (100\%), bp 65-
$70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (0.08 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 246.1250. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires M , 246.1256); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.88$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 7.30-7.22 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 6.99-6.86 ( 2 H , $\mathrm{m}), 6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.47-5.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.58-4.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.26$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1\right), 2.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{J}} 1.4\right)$ and $1.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ 168.55 (q), 156.41 (q), 134.53, 132.93, 130.09, 129.47, 128.36 (q), 125.08 (q), $120.12,117.02,111.81,68.77,60.55,14.14$ and 14.07; m/z 246 (M ${ }^{+}, 73 \%$ ), 201 (32), 189 (42), 173 (40), 161 (44), 160 (31), 159 (30), 145 (26), 133 (60), 132 (80), 131 (100), 105 (67), 103 (28) and 77 (37).

Ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-hydroxy-5-chlorophenyl)propenoate 17 gave ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-allyloxy-5-chlorophenyl)propenoate 18 (93\%), bp $110-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.08 Torr) (Found: M, 282.0841 and 280.0864. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{37} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ require $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 282.0837$ and 280.0866 respectively); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.75$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{~J} 0.5$ ), $7.25-7.16$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.79\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.5\right), 5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.43-5.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 4.54-4.50(2H , m), $4.25\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1\right), 2.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{~J} 1.4)$ and 1.32 (3H, t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.1$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 168.19$ (q), 154.94 (q), 133.13, 132.49, $129.60,128.96,126.60$ (q), 125.07 (q), 117.32, 113.03, 69.10, 60.73, 14.11 and 14.03 (one quaternary carbon not apparent); $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 282\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 12 \%\right), 280\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 41\right), 235(16), 223$ (32), 207 (21), 195 (21), 169 (16), 168 (19), 167 (60), 166 (28), 165 (100), 139 (27), 131 (24) and 103 (26).

M ethyl 3-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 21 gave methyl 3 -(2-allyloxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 22 (94\%), bp $100-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (0.07 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 268.1098. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires M , 268.1099); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.35$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3}$ 3 16.2 ), 8.16 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3}$ 3 8.3 ), 7.77
 16.2), $6.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.47-5.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.74-4.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 168.12(\mathrm{q}), 155.51(\mathrm{q}), 137.78,132.80,131.25$, 128.40, 127.22, 123.84, 123.13, 122.91, 117.74, 113.97, 69.75 and 51.48 (three quaternary carbons not assigned); $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 268$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 61 \%$ ), 237 (10), 196 (22), 195 (19), 168 (100), 155 (36), 140 (22), 139 (45) and 59 (24).

Ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate $\mathbf{2 5}$ gave ethyl 2-methyl-3-(2-allyloxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 26 (91\%), bp $95-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.1 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 296.1411. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 296.1412$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 7.84-7.71 (3H, m), 7.51-7.24 (3H, m), 6.06 (1H, m), 5.47-5.24 (2H, m), 4.69-4.65 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.34\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.2\right), 1.77\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 1.3\right)$ and $1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 7.2$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 167.99(\mathrm{q}), 152.93(\mathrm{q}), 133.99,133.23,132.03(\mathrm{q})$, 131.92 (q), 129.58, 128.74 (q), 128.09, 126.53, 124.45, 123.71, 119.10 (q), $117.15,114.44,69.74,60.63,14.92$ and $14.23 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $296\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 39 \%\right), 210(12), 183(34), 182(100), 181$ (40), 153 (12) and 152 (19).

## M ethyl 3-(2-isopropoxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 23 and methyl 3-(2-benzyloxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 24

Preparation and work-up were performed as described in the previous section but with replacement of allyl bromide with isopropyl bromide. M ethyl 3-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 21 gave methyl 3-(2-isopropoxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate $23(0.204 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%)$, bp $107-111{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 2 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 270.1243. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 270.1256$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 16.1\right), 8.19$ (1H, d, ${ }^{3}$ J 8.1 ), $7.82-7.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56-7.24$ (3H, m), $6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \sqrt[3]{ } 16.1), 6.35\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, septet, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 6.1\right), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and 1.41 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} 6.1$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 168.28$ (q), 155.16 (q), 138.12, 132.80 (q), 131.17, 129.46 (q), $128.37,127.12,123.78,123.15,122.61$, 117.84 (q), 115.45, 71.81, 51.46 and 22.27; m/z 270 ( ${ }^{+}$, 28\%), 228 (19), 197 (25), 196 (75), 169 (28), 168 (100), 141 (16), 140 (18) and 139 (26).

Similarly, preparation and work-up were performed as above, but with replacement of allyl bromide with benzyl bromide M ethyl 3-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 21 gave methyl 3-(2-benzyloxy-1-naphthyl) propenoate 24 ( $0.267 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ), bp 135$140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (3 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 318.1246. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires M , 318.1256 ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.43$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 16.2$ ), 8.21 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3}$ 3 8.6 ), 7.77 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{8.8}$ ), 7.47-7.33 (7H , m), 7.26 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.2$ ), 6.85 ( 1 H , d, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{j} 16.2$ ), $5.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $3.86\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{j} 0.6\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 168.14$ (q), 155.52 (q), 137.83, 131.33, 128.55, 128.47, 127.93, 127.29, $127.02,123.95,123.22,123.13,114.25,70.96$ and 51.53 (four
quaternary carbons not assigned); m/z 318 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13 \%$ ), 286 (11), 196 (27), 168 (36), 140 (10), 139 (22), 92 (10) and 91 (100).

Dimethyl (2-allyloxyphenyl)methylenemalonate 19 (cf. ref. 32)
A solution of titanium tetrachloride ( $2.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in carbon tetrachloride ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise to ice-cold dry tetrahydrofuran $\left(40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ under an atmosphere of nitrogen. A solution of dimethyl malonate ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 2allyloxybenzaldehyde ( $1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry tetrahydrofuran ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added slowly, followed by the addition of a solution of pyridine ( $3.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 5 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), and the resulting suspension was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . At the end of this period, water ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the mixture was extracted with methylene dichloride ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed, first with brine ( 20 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ), then with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and finally with water $\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The extracts were then dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give dimethyl (2-allyloxyphenyl)methylenemalonate 19 ( $1.69 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ) as a yellow oil, bp $187-190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (1.0 Torr) (Found: C, 64.9; H, 5.9. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 65.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.8 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.29$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.41-5.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.54$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $3.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 166.98(\mathrm{q}), 164.52(\mathrm{q})$, 156.96 (q), 138.73, 132.56, 131.86, 128.74, 125.22 (q), 122.34 (q), 120.57, 117.48, 112.18, 69.04, 52.27 and $52.19 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 276$ $\left(M^{+}, 35 \%\right), 217(12), 173(100), 145(50)$ and 117 (45).
(2-A llyloxyphenyl)methylenemalononitrile 5 (cf. ref. 32)
Piperidine ( 5 drops) and acetic acid ( 5 drops) were added to a solution of malononitrile ( $0.198 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-allyloxybenzaldehyde ( $0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 21 h . Water $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added and the solution was extracted with methylene dichloride ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried ( $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) and concentrated to give (2-allyloxyphenyl)methylenemalononitrile $5(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as a brown oil which slowly crystallised, $\mathrm{mp} 50-52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C 74.2; $\mathrm{H}, 4.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.3 . \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 74.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.75$; $\mathrm{N}, 13.3 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.55$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $7.08-$ $6.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.46-5.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $4.63(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 157.87$ (q), 154.31, 136.33, 131.75, 128.65, 121.17, 120.19 (q), 118.76, 114.23 (q), 112.90 (q), 112.64, 81.16 (q) and 69.54; m/z $210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 35 \%\right), 183$ (91), 143 (20), 41 (100) and 39 (70).

## M ethyl (2-allyloxyphenyl)methylene(cyano)acetate 20

(cf. ref. 32)
Prepared from methyl cyanoacetate ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2allyloxybenzaldehyde ( $0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by a similar procedure to that described above for 5, methyl 3-(2-allyloxyphenyl)methylene(cyano)acetate 20 ( $0.71 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ) was obtained as a yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp} 63-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 67.6 ; \mathrm{H}$ 5.2; $\mathrm{N}, 5.4 . \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.5 ; \mathrm{N}$, $5.6 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.09-6.90$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.45-5.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and 3.91 (3H, s); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 163.13$ (q), 158.12 (q), 149.88, 134.77, 132.10, 129.23, $120.96,120.75$ (q), 118.00, 115.68 (q), 112.30, 101.83 (q), 69.21 and 53.03; m/z 243 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 29 \%$ ), 201 (15), 182 (51), 143 (34) and 41 (100).

## Flash vacuum pyrolysis reactions

The substrates were distilled under reduced pressure into the empty silica furnace tube ( $35 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) which was maintained at the appropriate temperature by an electrical furnace Products were quenched in a $U$-tube cooled with liquid nitrogen located at the exit point of the furnace. At the end of the pyrolysis, the products were removed from the trap with solvent, which was subsequently removed in vacuo. The crude products were purified as described below. The quantity of precursor, furnace temperature ( $T_{f}$ ), inlet temperature $\left(T_{i}\right)$, pressure range and pyrolysis time are indicated in parenthesis.

## FVP of 2-allyloxystilbene 4

2-A llyloxystilbene $4\left(0.387 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, 0.001 Torr, 90 min ) gave a colourless solid ( 0.21 g ) which was recrystallised from ethanol to constant melting point affording 2-phenylbenzo[b]furan 31 ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ), mp $113-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{33}$ $\left.121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 194\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 165$ (47) and 77 (11).
A nalysis of the crude pyrolysate by GLC showed two minor products in addition to the 2-phenylbenzo[b]furan, which were identified as benzo[b]furan 32 and biphenyl by comparison with authentic samples.

## Preparation of benzo[b]furans by FVP of 2-allyloxycinnamate esters and related compounds

M ethyl 3-(2-allyloxyphenyl)propenoate $\mathbf{7}$ ( $0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 80-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.01$ Torr, 15 min ) gave benzo[b]furan 32 ( $0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ), bp $97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 80 Torr) [lit.,,$^{34} 173-175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(760$ Torr)]; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.69-7.22$ ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) and 6.79 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 2.2,5 \mathrm{~J} 0.9$ ); $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 118\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 90(48), 89(43), 63(30), 62$ (12) and 39 (15).

M ethyl 3-(2-allyloxy-5-chlorophenyl) propenoate 9 ( 0.110 g , $0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 120-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.01$ Torr, 20 min ) gave 5 chlorobenzo[b]furan $33(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$, bp $65-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 20 Torr) (lit., ${ }^{35} \mathrm{bp} 215-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 154.0004$ and 152.0031. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{37} \mathrm{ClO}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{35} \mathrm{C}$ IO require $\mathrm{M}, 153.9999$ and 152.0029 respectively); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.63$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 2.2$ ), 7.57 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 2.0$ ), 7.42 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.8$, ${ }^{5}$, 0.9 ), 7.25 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.8$ and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 2.0$ ) and 6.71 (1H, dd, ${ }^{3}$ 2.2, ${ }^{5}$. 0.9 ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 153.19$ (q), 146.17, 128.64 (q), 128.18 (q), 124.34, 120.64, 112.21 and $106.14 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 154\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 39 \%\right), 152$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 89$ (32) and 63 (13).
M ethyl 3-(2-allyloxy-5-nitrophenyl)propenoate 11 ( 0.102 g , $0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 140-460^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.01$ Torr, 20 min ) gave three products. These were separated by dry-flash chromatography to give, first, a compound which was tentatively identified as 5 -allylbenzo[b]furan 48 ( $0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.59$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ 2.1), $7.44-7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.71\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 2.1,{ }^{5}\right.$ ) $0.8), 6.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $3.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 6.7\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ (CH's only) 144.99, 137.88, 124.92, 120.55, 115.38, 110.94, 106.26 and 39.96. The second was 5 -nitrobenzo[b]furan 34 ( $0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ) mp $107-109^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (lit., ${ }^{36} 116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 163.0269 . \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 163.0269$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 8.54 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 2.2$ ), 8.23 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.0,{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 2.2$ ), 7.78 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ 2.3), 7.58 ( 1 H , apparent $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{3}^{3} 9.0$ ) and 6.92 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 3^{3} 2.3$, ${ }_{5}{ }^{5} 0.9$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 157.55$ (q) $147.86,144.04$ (q), 127.66 (q), 120.06, 117.72, 111.63 and $107.45 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 163\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 77 \%\right), 117(45), 89$ (100), 77 (16), 63 (75) and 62 (28). The third was 3-allyl-6-nitro-benzopyran-2-one 47 ( $0.004 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \%$ ) (not purified further) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 231.0529 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 231.0532$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 8.39-8.30 (2H , m), $7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.43\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.9\right), 5.93(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$, 5.28-5.20 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $3.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}($ DEPT $3 \pi / 4)$ 137.36, 132.54, 125.42, 123.01, 119.10, 117.44 and 34.38 ; m/z 231 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%$ ), 203 (36), 157 (45), 128 (77), 127 (40), 102 (27), 77 (28) and 63 (25).
M ethyl 3-(2-allyloxy-5-methoxyphenyl)propenoate 13 (0.16 g, $0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.01 \mathrm{Torr}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ) gave 5-methoxybenzo[b]furan 1 ( $0.087 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (lit., $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), NMR spectra identical with literature values. ${ }^{37}$
Ethyl 3-(2-allyloxyphenyl)-2-methylpropenoate 16 ( 0.123 g , $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 100-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.01 \mathrm{Torr}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) gave 2methylbenzo[b]furan 35 ( $0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ), bp $115-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(41$ Torr) [lit., ${ }^{38} 192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 744 Torr)] (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 132.0581 . \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 132.0575$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.53-7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.27-7.19(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 6.39\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, apparent quintet, ${ }^{4}$ ) and $\left.{ }^{5} \mathrm{~J} 1.0\right)$ and $2.48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, 4) 1.0); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 155.27$ (q), 154.61 (q), 129.05 (q), 122.90, 122.27, $119.92,110.48,102.43$ and $13.91 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 132\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 87 \%\right), 131$ (100), 77 (11), 51 (14), 44 (16) and 43 (13). Pyrolysis of the corresponding methyl ester $14\left(0.036 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$ $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.001$ Torr, 45 min ) also gave 2-methylbenzo[b]furan 35 (identical spectra with those described above) as the major product.

Ethyl 3-(2-allyloxy-5-chlorophenyl)-2-methylpropenoate 18 ( $0.130 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 100-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.01 \mathrm{Torr}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) gave 5-chloro-2-methylbenzo[b]furan $36(0.066 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$, bp $75-$ $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (20 Torr) [lit., ${ }^{39} 128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 25 Torr)] (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ 168.0178 and $166.0177 . \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{37} \mathrm{CI}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ require M , 168.0156 and 166.0185 respectively); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.42$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 7.30 ( 1 H $\mathrm{m}), 7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.31\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 0.9\right)$ and $2.44\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 0.9\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ 156.96 (q), 152.95 (q), 130.41 (q), 127.77 (q), $123.00,119.55$, $111.38,102.20$ and $13.98 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 168\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 30 \%\right), 166\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$, 165 (67), 131 (21), 103 (13) and 51 (19).

Dimethyl (2-allyloxyphenyl)methylenemalonate 19 ( 0.335 g , $1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.001 \mathrm{Torr}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$ ) gave methyl benzo[b]furan-2-carboxylate 37 ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 51-52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from hexane) (lit., ${ }^{40} 54-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.68-7.24(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and 3.95 (3H, s); m/z $176\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 78 \%\right), 145$ (100) and 117 (8). No other products were detected.

M ethyl (2-allyloxyphenyl)methylene(cyano)acetate 20 (0.134 $\mathrm{g}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.001$ Torr, 90 min ) gave a colourless solid pyrolysatewhich was purified by column chromatography on silica (hexane-diethyl ether eluent) and identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and GC-M S as 2-cyanobenzo[b]furan $38^{41}(0.041 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $7.58-7.31$ (4H, m); m/z 143 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%$ ). Thermal decomposition prior to sublimation gave rise to a substantial inlet residue ( 0.029 g ).
(2-A llyloxyphenyl)methylenemalononitrile 5 ( $0.035 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16$ $\mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.005$ Torr, 30 min ) gave a yellow solid ( $0.011 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{ca} .30 \%$ ) which was not identified, together with some polymeric material.

Ethyl 3-(2-benzyloxyphenyl)but-2-enoate 28 ( $0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4$ $\mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 140-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.003$ Torr, 15 min ) gave the crude product which was purified by dry-flash chromatography to give 3-methylbenzo[b]furan 39 ( $0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ), bp $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (12 Torr) [lit. ${ }^{38} 86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 20 Torr)] (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 132.0566 . \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ requires M, 132.0609); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.60-7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4)$ 1.3), 7.37-7.28 (2H , m) and 2.29 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 1.3$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 155.13(\mathrm{q})$, $141.24,128.90$ ( q$), 123.93,122.08,119.28,115.48$ (q), 111.18 and 7.76; m/z 132 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 98\%), 131 (100), 121 (67), 103 (35), 91 (71), 78 (16), 77 (45), 65 (22), 63 (22), 51 (27) and 39 (27).

M ethyl 3-(2-allyloxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 22 ( $0.102 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4$ $\mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 140-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.002 \mathrm{Torr}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) gave three products which were separated by dry flash chromatography (4\% ethyl acetate-hexane; 10\% gradient); naphtho[2,1-b]furan $40(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%), \mathrm{mp} 53-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum, bp 80$100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (lit., ${ }^{42} 60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 168.0583. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 168.0575$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.79-$ $7.47(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 152.38(\mathrm{q}), 144.05,130.18(\mathrm{q})$, $128.58,127.68$ (q), 126.15, 125.03, 124.34, 123.28, 122.49 (q), 112.37 and $105.44 ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 168\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 148$ (11), 139 (35), 84 (11) and 39 (53), 2-allyl-3H-naphtho[2,1-b]pyran-3-one 42 $(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%)$ (not purified further) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 236.0836$. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 236.0837$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ 10.0), $7.92-7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.70-7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.42\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ 9.0), $6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.34-5.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and 3.44-3.40 (2H , m); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 161.50(\mathrm{q}), 152.36(\mathrm{q}), 134.60,133.79,131.81,130.14(\mathrm{q})$, 128.81, 127.79, 126.96 (q), 125.72, 121.34, 118.15, 116.61, 113.25 (q) and 34.75 (onequaternary carbon not apparent); $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $236\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 235(22), 221$ (11), 208 (19), 207 (25), 181 (40), 178 (13), 165 (12), 152 (27), 139 (13) and 89 (12) and 3 H -naphtho[2,1-b]pyran-3-one 41 ( $0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \%$ ), mp $104-106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (lit., ${ }^{43} 117-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 196.0531$. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 196.0524$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.48$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 3^{3} 9.8$ ), 8.22 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ 8.3), 8.00-7.82 (2H, m), 7.72-7.42 (3H, m) and 6.75 (1H, d, ${ }^{3}$ 9.8); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 160.82$ (q), 153.77 (q), 139.00, 133.02, 130.16 (q), 128.90, 128.18, 125.95, 121.24, 116.96, 115.53 and 112.87 (q) (one quaternary carbon not apparent); m/z 196 (M ${ }^{+}, 100 \%$ ), 195 (11), 168 (69), 139 (42), 84 (15), 70 (12), 69 (13) and 63 (12).

M ethyl 3-(2-benzyloxy-1-naphthyl)propenoate 24 ( 0.130 g , $0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 140-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.001$ Torr, 20 min ) gave three products which were separated by dry flash chromatography ( $4 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexane; $10 \%$ gradient); naphtho-
[2,1-b]furan $40(0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%), \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{54-56}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from light petroleum, bp $80-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (lit., ${ }^{42} 60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 168.0576$. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 168.0575$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{M}$ R and mass spectra as above, 2-benzyl-3H -naphtho[2,1-b]pyran-3-one 45 ( 0.008 g , 7\%) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 286.1000 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 286.0993$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.2\right), 7.93-7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 7.61-7.29$ ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) and $4.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 161.62(\mathrm{q}), 152.38(\mathrm{q}), 137.67$ (q), 135.02, 131.89, 130.12 (q), 129.20, 128.79, 128.67, 128.34 (q), $127.75,126.74,125.70,121.26,116.61,113.25$ (q) and 36.77 (one quaternary carbon not apparent); m/z 286 ( ${ }^{+}$, 100\%), 258 (12), 257 (29) and 181 (21) and 3H -naphtho[2,1-b]pyran-3one 41 ( $0.009 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \%$ ), mp $106-108^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (lit., ${ }^{43}$ $117-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 196.0524. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires M , 196.0524); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ N M R and mass spectra as above.

M ethyl 3-(2-isopropoxy-1-naphthyl) propenoate 23 (0.148 g, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 160-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.001$ Torr, 20 min ) gave two products which were separated by dry flash chromatography ( $4 \%$ ethyl acetate-hexane; $10 \%$ gradient). N aphtho-[2,1-b]furan $40(0.054 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%) \mathrm{mp} 52-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{42} 60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 168.0574 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 168.0575$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and mass spectra as above and 3 H -naphtho[2,1-b]-pyran-3-one $41(0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%), \mathrm{mp} 107-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (lit., ${ }^{43} 117-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 196.0516. $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 196.0524)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ N M R and mass spectra as above.

Ethyl 3-(2-allyloxy-1-naphthyl)-2-methylpropenoate $\mathbf{2 6}$ ( $0.133 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 120-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.01 \mathrm{Torr}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) gave one major product after purification by dry-flash chromatography (4\% ethyl acetate-hexane; $10 \%$ gradient) which was identified as 2 -methyInaphtho[2,1-b]furan 46 ( $0.071 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 46-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from water-ethanol) (lit., ${ }^{44} \mathrm{mp} 57-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 182.0731 . \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 182.0732$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.11$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.68-7.47(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and 2.60 (3H, s); $\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 154.49$ (q), 151.77 (q), 130.11 (q), 128.52, 127.25 (q), $125.75,124.01,123.63,123.29,111.91,101.59$ and 14.03 (one quaternary carbon not apparent); m/z $182\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 181$ (96), 153 (11), 152 (30), 76 (20) and 63 (12).

## Preparation of angelicin

8-Formyl-7-hydroxycoumarin 50. ${ }^{14}$ 7-H ydroxycoumarin 49 $(20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.123 \mathrm{~mol})$ and hexamethylenetetramine ( $40.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.285$ mol ) were added to glacial acetic acid ( $150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and stirred at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5.5 h . Aqueous hydrochloric acid [ HCl -water = 84:100 (v/v), $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ] was added and the solution was heated under reflux for 30 min . A fter cooling, the mixture was added to water ( $1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and extracted with diethyl ether ( $1 \times 1000$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}, 2 \times 500 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $500 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{gSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The 8 -formyl-7-hydroxycoumarin $50(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \%)$ so obtained had $\mathrm{mp} 178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (lit., ${ }^{14} 189-191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 12.18$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 10.57 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ 0.6 ), 7.64 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.6$ ), 7.58 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.8$ ), 6.86 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.8$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{J} 0.6$ ) and $6.30\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.6\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 192.78,165.36$ (q), 158.96 (q), 156.62 (q), 143.23, 135.87, 114.56, 113.27, 110.74 (q) and $108.54(\mathrm{q}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 190\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100 \%\right), 162(40)$ and 134 (58).
7-Allyloxy-8-formylcoumarin $51 . \quad 8$-Formyl-7-hydroxycoumarin $50(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was allylated as above using allyl bromide ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dimethylformamide ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) containing potassium carbonate ( $0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and worked up in the usual way to give 7-allyloxy-8-formylcoumarin 51 ( 0.48 g , $81 \%$ ), mp $156-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from toluene-hexane) (Found: C, 67.3; $\mathrm{H}, 4.3 . \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.35 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 10.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$,
 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{l}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.6$ ), $6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.35-5.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $4.73(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 186.64,162.24(\mathrm{q}), 159.40(\mathrm{q}), 155.64$ (q), 142.91, 133.87, 131.24, 118.53, 113.93, 112.84 (q) 112.51 (q), 109.20 and 69.87; $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 230\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5 \%\right), 189(100)$ and 41 (88).

M ethyl 3-(7-allyloxycoumarin-8-yl)propenoate 52. 7-Allyl-oxy-8-formylcoumarin $51(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted overnight with methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate in dichloromethane as described above, and the product was
purified by dry flash chromatography on silica to give methyl 3-(7-allyloxycoumarin-8-yl) propenoate 52 ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ), mp 142$144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 66.6; H, 4.4. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.9 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.11\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}\right.$, ${ }^{3}$ ) 16.4 ), $7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, ${ }^{3}$ J 9.6 ), 7.38 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3}$ J 8.7 ), 7.06 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3}$ ) 16.4 ), 6.85 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3}$ ) 8.7), $6.25\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{j} 9.6\right), 6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.71(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m})$ and $3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 167.94(\mathrm{q}), 160.29(\mathrm{q}), 159.98(\mathrm{q}), 153.65$ (q), 143.40, 132.50, 131.70, 129.65, 123.44, 118.59, 113.33, 112.73 (q), 111.57 (q), 108.69, 69.83 and 51.52; m/z $286\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, 54\%), 227 (99), 226 (64), 214 (34), 201 (54), 186 (59), 158 (61) and 41 (100).
2H-F uro[2,3-h]-1-benzopyran-2-one (angelicin) 2. M ethyl 3-(7-allyloxycoumarin-8-yl) propenoate $\mathbf{5 2}$ was pyrolysed under FVP conditions ( $0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.001$ Torr, 40 min ) to give angelicin $2(0.014 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%) \mathrm{mp} 139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from methanol) (lit., ${ }^{7} 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.80\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.6\right), 7.68(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, ${ }^{3}$ 2.2), 7.42 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3}{ }^{3} 8.5$ and ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~J} 0.8$ ), 7.37 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 8.5$ ), $7.11\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 2.2\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{5} \mathrm{~J} 0.8\right)$ and $6.38\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.6\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ 160.71 (q), 157.22 (q), 148.36 (q), 145.75, 144.39, 123.69, 116.79 $(q), 113.98,113.38(q), 108.68$ and 103.97. A minor nonvolatile product of this pyrolysis was identified as 9 -allyl$2 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{H}$-benzo[1,2-b;3,4-b']dipyran-2,8-dione 53 (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 254.0584. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 254.0579$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$,
 and ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} 0.6$ ), $6.44\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 9.6\right), 5.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $3.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 254\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 45 \%\right), 226(24), 186$ (100) and 158 (93).

3-Benzyloxy-5-(methylthio)thiophene 57 (cf. ref. 22)
A stirred suspension of sodium hydride ( $0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylimidazolidinone (DMI) ( $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was prepared under nitrogen. A solution of 3 -hydroxy- 5 -(methylthio)thiophene ${ }^{21} 56(1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in D M I $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and a solution of benzyl toluene-p-sulfonate ( $2.62 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DM I $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ were then added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 6 h . Water ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with water ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{SSO}_{4}\right)$. Removal of the solvent gave a mixture of two products, in which 0 -alkylation and $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}$-dialkylation respectively had taken place. These products were separated by careful bulb to bulb distillation to yield the pure 3-benzyloxy-5(methylthio)thiophene $57(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%)$, bp $120-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.05$ Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 236.0326 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$ requires M , 236.0330); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.50-7.35(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.89$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{4}$ 1.8), 6.31 ( 1 H , d, $\left.{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J} 1.8\right), 5.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $2.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 156.25(\mathrm{q}), 136.38(\mathrm{q})$, 128.32, 127.87, 127.35, 122.24, 99.79, 71.58 and 21.05 (one quaternary carbon not apparent); m/z 236 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10 \%$ ), 123 (17), 117 (11), 92 (100), 91 (100), 89 (15), 85 (19), 60 (13), 51 (15), 45 (44), 41 (10) and 39 (24).

## 5-[(3-Benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2-thienyl)methylene]-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione 60

A solution of freshly prepared 2,2-dimethyl-5-methoxy-methylene-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione 59 ( $0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added to a stirred solution of 3 -benzyloxy-5-(methylthio)thiophene $57(0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The mixture was then stirred for 2 days. TLC showed that reaction was complete and the solid was filtered to give the crude product ( $0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ). This was then recrystallised from ethanol to give 5-[(3-benzyloxy-5-methyl-thio-2-thienyl)methylene]-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione 60 ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ ), mp 206-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol) (Found: C, 58.45; $\mathrm{H}, 4.70 \% ; \mathrm{M}^{+}, 390.0608 . \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58.45 ; \mathrm{H}$, $4.70 \%$; M , 390.0595); $\delta_{\mathbf{H}} 8.77$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 7.36 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 6.63 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $5.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $1.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 168.05(\mathrm{q}), 164.28$ (q), 163.44 (q), 162.79 (q) $141.78,134.76$ (q), 128.75, 128.55, 127.18, 115.69 (q), 110.74, 103.70 (q), 98.37 (q), 73.77, 27.10 and 16.60; m/z 390 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7 \%$ ), 332 (22), 226 (15), 225 (15), 198 (31), 92 (12), 91 (100) and 65 (12).

M ethyl 3-(3-benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2-thienyl)propenoate 54
(cf. ref. 24)
5-[(3-Benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2-thienyl)methylene]-2,2-
dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione 60 ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and a solution of sodium methoxide [from sodium ( $0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ )] was added. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 1 h and was poured into water ( $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and acidified with hydrochloric acid. The acid solution was extracted with dichloromethane $\left(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator to give crude 3-(3-benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2-thienyl)-2methoxycarbonylpropenoic acid 61 ( $0.160 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ), mp $115-$ $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.39(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.22$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $2.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), \mathrm{OH}$ not apparent; $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ 171.83 (q), 166.65 (q), 166.25 (q), 161.13 (q), 140.97, 135.33 (q), $128.65,128.38,126.93(q), 115.39(q), 110.81,101.88$ (q), 73.43 , 52.85 and 16.60 . This compound was used directly in the next stage Bulb to bulb distillation gave the decarboxylated product, methyl 3-(3-benzylox y-5-methylthio-2-thienyl)propenoate 54 ( $0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ), bp $120-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.05 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 320.0523. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 320.0541$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.82\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ 15.7), 7.38 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 6.70 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 5.99 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, ${ }^{3}$ ) 15.7), 5.09 ( 2 H , $\mathrm{s}), 3.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $2.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 167.65(\mathrm{q}), 157.37(\mathrm{q}), 141.44$ (q), 135.98 (q), $133.42,128.52,128.15,127.20,118.00$ (q), 117.23, 112.37, 73.22, 51.26 and 19.42; m/z 320 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 42 \%$ ), 288 (10), 261 (15), 170 (10) and 91 (100).

M ethyl 2-methox ycarbonyl-3-(3-benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2thienyl)propenoate 55 (cf. ref. 24)
5-[(3-Benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2-thienyl)methylene]-2,2-
dimethyl-1,3-dioxane 4,6 -dione 60 ( $0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and a solution of sodium methoxide [from sodium ( $0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ )] was added. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The methanol was then removed on a rotary evaporator and the residual anion was dissolved in dimethylformamide ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Potassium carbonate ( $0.069 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl iodide ( $0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.031 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was stirred overnight. Water ( $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with water ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{SSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was then removed on a rotary evaporator to yield methyl 2-methoxycarbonyl-3-(3-benzyloxy5 -methylthio-2-thienyl)propenoate 55 ( $0.155 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ), bp $130-$ $135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.05 Torr) (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 378.0594. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}, 378.0596$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.15$ (1H, s), 7.37 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 6.64 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 5.13 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and $2.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 166.97(\mathrm{q})$, 165.59 (q), 161.29 (q), 148.15 (q), 135.65 (q), 133.12, 128.57, 128.22, 127.08, 114.63 (q), 114.28, 73.32, 52.20, 52.07 and 18.35 (one quaternary carbon not apparent); m/z 378 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, $30 \%), 302$ (15), 271 (10), 256 (10), 228 (10), 111 (20) and 91 (100).

## Pyrolysis of methyl 3-(3-benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2-thienyl)propenoate 54 and methyl 2 -methoxycarbonyl-3-(3-benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2-thienyl) propenoate 55

M ethyl 3-(3-benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2-thienyl)propenoate 54 ( $0.054 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 160-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.002$ Torr, 10 min ) gave the crude product which was purified by dry-flash chromatography (4\% ethyl acetate-hexane; $5 \%$ gradient) to remove bibenzyl and give 5-methylthiothieno[3,2-b]furan 62 ( $0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.55\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 2.0\right), 7.15\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{5} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ 0.8), 6.67 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J} 2.0,{ }^{5} \mathrm{~J} 0.8$ ) and $2.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. This compound decomposed after 2 days in chloroform solution, so could not be further characterised.
M ethyl 2-methoxycarbonyl-3-(3-benzyloxy-5-methylthio-2thienyl) propenoate $55\left(0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} 650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}} 160-\right.$ $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.002$ Torr, 10 min ) gave the crude product which was purified by dry-flash chromatography (4\% ethyl acetate-
hexane; 5\% gradient) to remove bibenzyl and give 2-methoxy-carbonyl-5-methylthiothieno[3,2-b]furan $65(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $7.41\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{5} \mathrm{~J} 0.6\right), 7.09\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},{ }^{5} \mathrm{~J} 0.6\right), 3.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and 2.56 (3H, s). This compound decomposed after 2 days in chloroform solution, so could not be further characterised.
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